CONTENTS.

PREFACE

INTRODUCTORY LECTURE.

BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH OP HAHNEMANN.

Difficulty of forming a correct estimate of him—His birth and parentage—Pursuit of knowledge under difficulties—School days—Student's life in Leipzic and Vienna—Removal to Hermannatadt—Graduates in Erlangen—Practises in Hettstiidt, then in Dessau, then in Goramern—His first marriage—Removes to Dresden—Chemical labours—Berzelius's opinion—Goes to Leipzic—Discovery of the homocopathic principle—Ret angasta domi—Accepts the charge of a lunatic asylum—Introduces the principle of moral restraint—Removes to Walschleben, Pyrmont, Brunswick, Wolfenbiittel, and Konigslutter—Works written during this period—Enunciation of the homocopathic principle—Persecution of the apothecaries—Discovery of the prophylactic tor searlet fever—Tardy acknowledgment of his discovery—Forced to leave Konigslutter—Accidents on the journey—Arrives at Hamburg—Removes to Altona, Mollen, Eulenburg, Machern, Dessau-Works written during this period—Hostility of his colleagues—Chemical mistake—Removes to Torgau—Writes for a literary journal—Returns to Leipzic—Attacks uponhim—Histesis to enable him to lecture—Commences lecturing—Literary labours—Treatment of Prince Schwarzenberg—Persecution of the apothecaries—Driven from Leipzic—Settles in Ceethen—Works written during this period—Foundation of the Central Homocopathic Society—(Secret history of Hahnemann's statue)—Indicates the remedies for cholera—Death of his first wife—Second marriage—Removal to Pari*—Death and burial—Characteristics of Hahnemann's mind—His perseverance—His intolerance—His unsociableness—His of scientiousriess—His industry—His generosity—(Anecdote of his poverty)—His religion—His high estimate of the medical profession—His humility—Compared to

LECTURE I.

THE HOMOEOPATHIC PRINCIPLE IN MEDICINE BEFORE HAHNEMANN.

Great discoveries foreshadowed—Planetary motions—The New World-Gravitation—Circulation of the blood—The steam-engine—Vaccination—Anticipations of homoeopathy—Hippocrates---Democritus—Empirical school—Krasistratos, Heraclides, Mithridates, Attalos, Nicander, Xenocrates, Varro, Quintus Serenus, Celsus—Galen—Fallopius—Basil Valentine—Paracelsus-^-Many points of resemblance in thedoctrinesot' Paracelsus and of Hahnemann—Paracelsus's ridicule of ordinary practice—(Ancedote of Sylvius)—His classification of physicians—His harted of the apothecaries—His horror of hypothesis—'His ndicule of complex prescrip-

dons—His abhorrence of nosology—His attack on conturia co?i(rariis—His defence of similia simiiibus—llis system a rude homoeopathy—His partiality for small doses—His employment of olfaction—His belief in the separation of the medicinal spirit from the material drug—Did Hahnemann borrow from Paracelsus?—Croll—Agricola—Tycho Brahe—Arndt—Ancient homoeopathic theses—Milton—Doctrine of signatures-Partial'.acknowledgment of homoeopathy by-Hahnemann's immediate predecessors—Bouldue—Detharding—Thoury—Storck—Stahl—Rivit-re—French peasants—Sainte Marie—Religious homoeopathy—Leadani—Buchner—Poetic homoeopathy—Homer—Shakspere—Raimund........

LECTURE II.

PATHOLOGICAL BASI&OF HOMOEOPATHY.

Imperfections of the art of medicine—Importance of physiology and pathology—Necessity of a pathological foundation for homoeopathy—Hahnemann's rejection of the current pathology—Outline of general pathology—Definition of life—Stimuli of the organism—Predisposing causes of disease—Age—Sex—Temperament—Idiosyncrasy—Remarkable cases of idiosyncrasy—Habit of body—Climate—Season—Diet—Regimen, etc. - Adaptability of the human being to different conditions—Exciting causes of disease—Temperature—Effects of over-stimulation—Phenomena Of iuilammation—Sympathy—Passions—Emotions—Miasms—Parasitical animals—Origin of parasites—Nature of the morbid process—Rationale of the curative *process—Curative agents are direct or indirect irritants—John Brown's general debility Nnd general stimuli—I#*oussais' central inflammation and antiphlogistics—Fletcher's specific irritabilities and specific stimuli—The discovery of specific, stimuli—jCures- effected by direct stimulation—These views lead to homoeopathy—Hahnemann's steps in the discovery of homoeopathy—His experiments with bark—ifis enunciation of the homoeopathy—His experiments with bark—ifis enunciation of the homoeopathy—His experiments with bark—ifis enunciation of the homoeopathy au—Confines it at first to chronic diseases only—Subsequently extends it to acute diseases—Essence ofThe homoeopathic system

LECTURE III.

ON SPECIFIC MEDICINE, AND ATTEMPTS AT A THEORY OF CUBE.

Hahnemann's original name for homoeopathy was the doctrine of specifics—He always considered his remedies specifics—Difference betwixt homoeopathic and old-school specifics—Difference betwixt homoeopathic "pecificker# and purists—Names proposed for homoeopathy—Is homoeopathy the doctrine of specifics ?—Sydenham on specifics—Bacon—Kopp—Stiejjlitz—Hufeland—Stapf— Arnold—Kurtz—Qoth—Schron—Goullon—Wolf-Rapou—Dufresne—Watske—Black—Homoeopathy is specific medicine—Explanations of the curative process—Hahnemann's idea that the stronger disease overcomes the weaker—His first attempt at an explanation of what takes place—Fallacies of this explanation—Medicines act conditionally, not absolutely—Instances of insensibility to medicinaLaction—Medicinal action not stronger, than disease—Cures effected by weaker, not by stronger irritations—Examplec from Huhue-in^n.—Irrelevancy of Hahnemann's illustrations—His second attempt at an explanation of the curative process—Extravagance of this attempt —Refutation of it—Hahnemann conscious of die weakness of his theory—BauspoUrthecVy—Attomyt'sbotanic theory—Eachemmeye's latitudin&iianism—Jahn's increased reaction theory—Schron's reaction theory—Hufeland'a similar theory—Theorie. of the worshippers of the vi* m\$dicatri* Motor*—Dr. Bangredo.

CONTENTS

Vυ

LECTURE IV.

THEOBIES OF CUBE (CONTINUED).

Sdkmid's polar theory—MosthafFs antipathic theory—J. O. Midler's antipathic theory—Koch's substitutive theory—His imperfect definition of wm*/<xr—His tendency to substantialize qualities of matter—Widenmann's theory of stronger and weaker affinities—The phenomena of chemistry not analogous to the vital processes—Gerstel's derivative theory—Facts against this theory—Schneider's peripherics!theory—Untenahleness of his views—Trinks's neutralization theory—Mayrhoi'er's blunted receptivity theory—Griesselieh's greater affinity theory—Trousseau's substitutive theory—Hirsehel's four theories—Wunderlichs eight theories—Curie's assisting-nature theory—P. F.'s odylic theory—Theory based on direct specific stimulation—Fletcher's theory of homoeopathic cures—Homoeopathic remedies act antipathically—Correct views of Cl. Miller—Foreshadowing of homo?opaf;hT by John Hunter—A true theory applicable to the explanation of all medicinal cures—Falsity of the view that disease is cured by the strongerovercoming the weaker. PAGE

LECTURE V

THE HOMOEOPATHIC AGGRAVATION.

Hahnemann's first allusion to it—His first case of aggravation.owing to an over-dose—He first taught that it was necessary to th'e cure—Afterwards that it was only an occasional occurrence—And that it depended on the size of the dose—Duration of aggravation in acute and in chronic diseases-False 'aggravation- by the production of medicinal symptoms—Always indicative of unsuitableness on the-part of the medicine—Very slight medicinal symptoms of no importance—Hahnemann's examples of true aggravation from a too powerful dose—Teie drosera aggravation examined—Cures with large doses mentioned by Hahnemann without aggravation—Schrim denies the reality of the homeopathic aggravation—Rommel considers it exceptional—Kurtz confounds the true and the false aggravations—Gross considers the quality of the aggravation dependent on the size of the dose—Schmid asserts that it only occurs with too small doses—Kiimpfer's critical and non-critical aggravations—Hirschel's four kinds of aggravation—Noue of these resemble Hahnemann's—Trinks savs true and false aggravations are independent of dose—Schneider says Hahnemann's aggravation is a phantom, but admits five kinds—Romano's rule for distinguishing, betwixt the homoeopathic aggravation—Griesselieh admits and denies the homoeopathic aggravation—Griesselieh admits and denies the homoeopathic aggravation—Arnold's definition of it-ls it a reality?—Foundations for the belief in it—Writers have generally confounded the false with the true—General conclusions on the subject—Classes of practitioners who talk most of aggravations—Necessity, for examining critically all Hahnemann's doctrines—A desirable aggravation—Cutaneous diseases.

LECTURE VI.

ISOPATHY

Antiquity of the doctrine—Met with in the writings of Dioscorides, Xenocrates, Galen, Serapion, Faulus ^gineta, Haly Abbas, Celans, Nicander, Croll—Van Helmont hints atit—Durey*s treatment of hydrophobia—Mead's core for vipers' bites and scorpions' stings—Isopathy in Hudibras-rHering introduces it into howKBopathic practice—His proposed method of curing contagious diseases—His botanical and entomological

isopathy—Anticipated by Dr. Horabook—Isopathic propensities of New Zealand cannibals—Hering's chemical rescue of psorine, which continues still unrescued—Source of his psorine—His nosodes—His explanation of the mode of action of morbid product*—Gross becomes enamoured of isopathy—He pronounces it superior to homocopathy—His novel blood-globules—Antiquity of blood as a remedy—Lux's isopathy of contagions—His delicate preparations—Extravagances of the isopathists—Tomtru—Stepfs partial approbation of the practice—Hahnemann's denunciation o1 it—His denial of isopathic cures—His abuse of Gross—His allusion to psorine—Helbig rejects isopathy—Rau admits the occasional utility of isopathic preparations—His theory of their mode of action—He afterwards regrets their introduction—Thore* denounces isopathy—Dufresne considers it a brilliant discovery—M. Muller attempts to incorporate it with homocopathy—Kammerer considers isopathy—But ue as homocopathy—Veith only approves of psorine—Kurtz approves of isopathy—Genzke rejects it—Buchner condemns it—The doctrine gradually sinks into neglect—Is revived by Herrmann in a different form—The healthy organs of animals remedies for the corresponding diseased organs in man—The doctrine not new—Nor true, according to Genzke—Brutzer's recent revival of the original isopathy—His wonderful cures examined—And found wanting—Kasemann'shomocopathic isopathy—What are isopathic remedies?—Absurdity of Herrmann's doctrines—Many of Hering's nosodes must be excluded from the category of remedies—Contagious no maters may have remedial powers in their corresponding diseases—A disease may be cured by an agent capable of producing it—Examples of wot>*thic cures—Schnappaufs. Author's, and Nogueira's cures of small-pox—Auzias and Sperinos alleged cure of syphilis—Isopathic treatment of sheep-^Cures by psorine examined—The truth there is in the doctrine—How should isopathic remedies be administered H—Author's isopathic (?) cure of pannus—Remedial powers of morbid products—Cure of naevi—Isopathic remedies

LECTURE VII.

ON THE PROVING OF MEDICINES.

Proving of medicines the inevitable corollary from the law similia similibus curentur—Little known of the positive action of medicines when the homoeopathic law was discovered—That little in favour of homoeopathy—Hahnemann did not at oisce commence to prove medicines—He appeals to his colleagues to assist him—His appeal is neglected—He exposes the weakness of the system of his colleagues—And thereby renders himself obnoxious to them—He publishes his first provings—His first directions for proving—He rejects homoeopathic aggravations as a pathogenetic source—His final directions for proving—His provings with globules of the .30th dilution—His pathogenetic sources—Various doses used by Hahnemann in his provings—What has the old school done in the way of physiological provings?—The empiricists—Heraclides—Mithridates—Attalos Fhilometer—Nicander—Matthioli's and Richard's poisonings—Haller's recommendation to prove—Alexander's experiments—Experiments on the lower animals despised by Hahnemann—Jorg's proving society—Its labours appropriated by Hahnemann—Wedekind's and Martin's efforts to induce others to prove—The provings of the allopathic society of Vienna—The provings of Rademacher's followers—Pereira's approval of provings—Resolution of the Strasburg scientific congress—Forbea's recommendation of proving—Of what use are provings to allopathists?—They are only available by homoBopatbists—Piper's rules for proving—Schrdn's directions for proving—His disapproval of provings with the 30th dilution—His proposal for arranging the pathogenetic effects—Griesselich's rules and cautions-r-Names or the most distinguished provers—Hering approves of proving with the 30th

ix CONTENTS

illHiiiii And practises hV—He proposes to prove medicines in the high patencies—His list of pathogenetic sources—A society in Thuringia estafished to prove 30th dilutions—Watzke's reasons for re-proving Hahnemann's medicines—Drysdale's remarks on provings—Trinks disapproves of proving with high dilutions, and rejects symptoms obtained from atm.litj—Curtis's proposal for negative provings—Absurd substances that have been proved—Mure's provings of hides, diseased potatoes, gnanci, Bee, etc.—Hering's doubtful medicine—Wursler's pudding—How nrormgs should be conducted—Medicines should be proved in small asses, but not in high dilutions only—Patients an impure source for irmg-symptoms—Poisoning of lower animals useful—Duty of all homeco-

pathists to prove

LECTURE VIII.

OF TOE PRIMARY, SECONDAET, AND ALTERNATING ACTIONS OF MEDICINES

MEDICINES.

MEDICINES.

MEDICINES.

Memann's early notions on primary and secondary actions—He says some medicines have only a primary action—The importance he attached to distinguishing between primary and secondary actions—On it he founds his division ofmedicines into curative and palliative—Latterly he ascribes the primary action chiefly to the influence of the medicines, the secondary chiefly to the reaction of the vital force—Examples of the two actions—Secondary actions not noticed after small doses, or even after moderate doses on the healthy—Examples from the Materia Medica of primary and secondary actions—These two actions—Finally not distinguished by a special appellation—Hahnemann seems practically to have abandoned the distinction—Hahnemann's self-contradictions—His alternating action—Example? ofit—Probable reasons for the adoption of the term—Hering combats the notion of primary and secondary actions—Piper disapproves of the distinction—Helbig rejects it—Watzke's ideas on the subject—Attomyr's notions directly opposed to Hahnemann's—Kurtz rejects the division—Trinks does the same—Schrdn would retain the division and use it to prune the Materia Medica—Arnolddissents from Hahnemann—Hirsehel agrees partially with Hahnemann—Drysdale accepts Hahnemann's division—Gerstel proposes the division into active and passive symptoms—Schneider proposes positive and negative symptoms—Gresselich repudiates Hahnemann's original notions had a bad influence on bis mode of recording symptoms—Faults of the Hahnemannic schema—Example of a complete picture of a medicinal disease—Hahnemann's Materia Medica is little more than an index—Redactio ad abmrduai of the primary, secondary, and alternating actions—Greate proposes positive of the medicinal disease—Hahnemann's Materia Medica is little more than an index—Redactio of the primary, secondary, and alternating actions that the propose of the primary secondary and alternating actions of the primary is secondary. The standard—We ought to strive to bring them up to this stand Hahnemann's early notions on primary and secondary actions-He says

especially valuable

LECTURE IX.

ON HAHNEMANN'S DOCTRINE OP CHRONIC DISEASES.

Individualization a peculiar feature of Hahnemann's leaching before his invention of the psora theory—His uonteTnpt for pathological hypothesis—His psora-theory a vast generalization and a pathological hypothesis—His early foresjhadowings of the theory—He early ascribes a large number of chronic diseases to itch—His account of the discovery of the source of chronic diseases—He communicates his discovery to Stapfand Gross—Necessity for a miasmatic origin of chronic diseases—Psora tho

grand source—Syphilis and sycosis the other sources—Itch.a degeneration of the ancient leprosy—Error of "considering itch a local disease—Under itch he includes many other distinct skin-diseases—Mode in which infection takes place—Itch to be met with everywhere—The moat infectious chronic miasm—Mode of development of itch-disease—Danger of suppressing ihe external eruption—Signs of latent psora*—He at first thought the disease might be cured by reproducing an eruption—His Burgundy-pitch plaster—He also l>elieved that a fresh infection with itch would cure the chronic disease—Instances of this adduced by him—He afterwards recants these opinions—His mode of treating-fresh itch—Psorie diseases require peculiar medicines, termed antipsorics—Before he thoughit of psora-heconsidered coffee to bethe great source of chronic diseases—Traces' of this psora-theory in-ancient writers—Hoffmann ascribes many diseases to suppressed itch—Autenrieth's psora-theory—Hahnemann's contempt for Autenrieth's treatment of itch—Wenzel held a psora-theory—Stapfs laudations of the psora-theory—Gross testifies to its truth—Unquestioning' adhesion to it of many homoeopa—thists—Peterson "corroborates it with cock-and-bull stories—He makes out that cholera is of psoric origin—Kan "admits the partial foundation in truth of the theory-rrWolf considers it an unfortunate idea—Schrou defends "pra?-antipsorie- homoeopathy against Hahnemann's - disparagement of it—Heriig carries the psora-theory farther than Hahnemann—He announces a prophylactic for itch—Later allopathic authorities who have held the psora-theory—Beer—Schojdein—WeUcnweber—Nathan's apology for Hahnemann

LECTURE X.

ON HAHNEMANN'S DOCTRINE OE CHRONIC DISEASES (CONTINUED).

Opinions relative to the psora-theory" since the recognition of the itch-insect—Russell's historical paper on itch—Abenzohr knew of the insects—The German peasants were acquainted with them—Moufet described them—Hauptmann gave a drawing of them—Bonomo worte about them—Yich—mann alluded to them—Afterwards they were lost sight of and disbebevedin—Kayergetsthempointed out to turn—Adams describes them, and gives himself the itch—Since then they are generally believed in—Hebra's account of scabies—His description of the acarus and its tracks—Habitat of the vermin—Funtion dependent on its presence—The acarus-the gives himself the itch—Since then they are generally believed in—Hebra's account of scabies—His description of the ac'arus and its tracks—Habitat of the vermin—Eruption dependent on its presence—The acarus-the sole infecting agent—His treatment of the disease—Puller defends the psora-theory against Hebra—Denies itch to be a parasitic disease—The itch-iusect the product of the disease—He allows that itch requires external treatment—Hebra's reply to Puffer—lie contends that the acarus is the sole essential feature of itch—He- denies that any danger attends the suppression of itch—Griesselich's views on the psora-theory—Hahnemann cured chronic diseases before he had a single anfipsoric—The psora-theory supplies a defect in Hahnemann's previous doctrines—Influence of the theory on Hahnemann's pathology of acute diseases—Hahnemann's psopaequivalent todyserasia, cachexia, and humours of others—Hahnemann wrong in his diagnosis of itch—Doubtfulfiftch can be cured by internal medicines—Influence of the ordinary treatment of itch in 'Producing serious disease—Benefit* conferred on practice by the psora-theory—How can a parasitic disease give rise to other diseases?—The psora-theory must include other-skin-diseases besides itch—Hahnemann's psora-theory was a concession to the humoral pathologists—Henderson's defence of the "psora-theory—Russell denies the repercussion of itch—Expalins bow its suppression may cause disease—Simou contends for more than three chronic miasms—Leboucher misapprehends Hahnemann's dectrine—Examination—of the psora-theory—Credendait involves—Hahnemann's cures of psoric diseases without antipsories—Before he had any antipsories he proposed to cure chronic diseases duly by homoeopathy—Hahnemann fails to prove the origin of CONTENTS. X1

chronic diseases from itch—His diagnosis of itch incorrect—He confounds chronic diseases from itch—His diagnosis of itch incorrect—He confounds it with other diseases—Secondary diseases are sometimes produced by awppressing itch—How this happens—jJanger of suppressing extensive exanthemata—Hahnemann erred in overlooking hereditary diseases—They have been recognised in all ages—Aristotle—Piorry—Linz-^Many causes of Intent disease—Probability of certain eruptive diseases being connected with certain internal diseases—Nunez—Reasons for Hahnerant's deating of the process theory. Good done by the process theory. nann's adoption of the psora-theory—Good done by the psora-theory— Treatment of itch—Want Of success of the internal*treatment—Importance of destroying the acarus—Modes of doing so—Syphilis—Sycosis—After-diseases of sycosis—Antisycotic medicines

LECTURE XI.

ON THE SELECTION OF THE REMEDY

u? selection of the remedy theoretically simple, practically difficult—Necessity for defining what is similar—The sum-total of the symptoms present not the sole- indication, even according to Hahnemann—His merit in rejecting pathological speculation as our guide in selecting the remedy—The selection of the remedy a purely empirical, almost mechanical act—The characteristic symptoms to beour, guide—The general and undefined symptoms to be neglected—Modern mode of compiling a book of, characteristic symptoms—Hahnemann is very vague on the subject of characteristic symptoms—Characteristic features of epidemic diseases—Characteristic symptoms of intermittent fevers—Hahnemann's denunciation of the umx in marbus—But many of his indications for medicines are derived from that source—Instances of these—Hahnemann's system was not, after all, a mere mechanical comparison of drug and disease—It was more scientific than he allows it to be—Rail's vindication of the scientific character of homeopathic prescriptions—He defends Hahnemann's "unuteness—But condemns a mere mechanical comparison of symptoms—Necessity for a profounder knowledge of the action of medicines on particular spheres of the organism than we can obtain in the Materia Medica—Cases in point—Hartmann shows that the homeopathy from the charge of being mere symptoms that the collective symptoms cannot be the sole indication—We must distinguish between "idiopathicand sympathetic—Kurtz—Wolf—Roth—Schmidtries to define similar—Watzke says the characteristic symptoms must guide us—Mosthaft' says similarity is not the sole point to attend to—Peterson refers to the great number of unimportant symptoms in the Materia Medica, and proposes a plan for increasing them—Hirschel's eight sources for obtaining indications—Herning says the characteristic symptoms most be our guide—Bonnmghansen considers the character of the symptom more important than either—This condition sometimeshissole guide—Wonderfulinfluence of shaving—Medicina? Doctores, D. G., Fid. Def.—Mure talks about Hu? selection of the remedy theoretically simple, practically difficult-Neces-

LECTURE XII.

<m HAHNEMANN'S THEORY OF THE DYNAMIZATION OF MEDICINE.</p>

IV dynainization-theory not eeeontial to homoeopathy—Hahnemann* 2rst

хu

LECTURE XIII.

ON THE DYNAMIZATION OF MEDICINES (CONTINUED).

ON THE DYNAMIZATION OF MEDICINES (CONTINUED).

Doppler on the great and the small in nature—He accounts for the increased power of triturated drags by the increase of the superficies of the medicine —Fallacy in Doppler's calculations—Chemical explanations—Physiological analogs—Spallanzani's experiments with frog's spawn—Arnold's experiment's, with frog's spawn—His experiments with cow-pock lymph—D'Ania'dor on the action of imperceptible-agents—Rau asserts the possibility*of dynamizing certain substances up to a certain point—Other-wise attenuation causes loss of power—He believes in the transference of medicinal power—Schron denies the truth of the dynamization-theory—Kretschmar also denies its truth—So also Trinks—Werber, Wolf, Fielitz, Schmid, Lietzau, 8trecker, Schneider, JEgidi, oppose the theory—Curious theories of two dilettanti—Gross's contradictory oracular utterances—Rummel's attempt to explain dynamization—Terrific effects of too much shaJrings-Ruiumel's recantation—Kampfer admits and denies the dynamiz*tSm—theory—Hartmann opposes it—Veith says it is a revival of Zoroaster's philosophy—Schubert thinks the medicine becomes spirit—Griesselich ridicules the notion of a transference of medicinal power—His explanation of dynamization—Joslin attributes the increase of power to the comminution of the drug—Foundation of the dynamization-theory—By the small dose the specific effects of the medicine are more certainly produced—Improbability of a separation of the medicinal power—Does trituration renderinsolubles soluble?—Doubtful correctness of some of Mayrhofer's obsen-ations--Facts that have encouraged the notion of dynamization—Smaller doses often relatively stronger than larger.

LECTURE XIV.

HOMOEOPATHIC POSOLOGY

Small doses ofmercury given by Hahnemann before his discovery of homceo-path'- After his discovery he gave other medicines in ordinary doses—

XIII PAGB

____i of small doses—His? doses in scarlet fever—Had the persecution an influence on his doses?—Reasons for his anonen change to small doses—Rules he gives for the selection of the ,, dnae—Later he alleges that the dose cannot be too small—Examples of maninus noses he recommended of various medicines—Appreciable doses nTsase a*e<ncines given by him—The doses prescribed in the Materia *arihtav—He did not always go on diminishing his doses, but sometimes paw larger doses than he had previously ordered—Remarkable influence saTah* psora-theory on his doses—He makes the 30th dilution his standard dard "Vaw—fice often departed from his own standard—Expresses his disappro—softingher attenuations—Approves of higher attenuations—If the be repeated the dose should be increased—Various doses in a state—A used by Hahnemann just before his death—Hartlaub advises for acute, larger for chronic diseases—Wolf recommends a rof doses—Rau advises small doses for acute, larger for chronic tm—His treatment of skin diseases—Werber contends for various—efgidi prefers the lower dilutions, but admits the power of all—ad opposes the idea of a standard dose—He says some medicines t act in the higher dilutions—He attempts to lay down some rules p doses—He allows that the 200th dilution still acts—Stapf says the Nes are best in high dilutions—He prefers the lower dilutions—He is the lower dilutions—He is the lower dilutions—He formally fans into the Jenichen trap—And recognises the apparent insanity of his

CONTENTS

LECTURE XT.

HOMOEOPATHIC POSOLOGY (CONTINUED)

its prefers the lower dilutions—Veith acknowledges the necessity of tanons dilutions—Kammerer prefers the higher dilutions, but gives stronger doses in acute diseases—Schmid always gives substantial doses—Watzke prefers the lower dilutions—His rules for the dose—He conienu the Jenichen preparations—Trinks lays down rules for the dose—He conienu the Jenichen preparations—Trinks practically an adherent of the lower dilutions—Schron prefers the lower dilutions—Ridicules the Jenichen potencies—Elwert prefers the lower —Helbig condemns an exclusive adherence to high or low dilutions—He gives large doses to drunkards—Vehsemeyer uses the lower dilutions—He gives large doses to drunkards—Vehsemeyer uses the lower diffinions—Ye chiller gives larger doses to the regulated by the reactive power of the organism and the quality of the medicine—Lietzau recommends the pure tincture—Schneider opposes the exclusive use of the 30th—Wahle prefers medium doses—He recommends much succession—Kampfer prefers the lower and medium dilutions—He gives different nacdicines in different doses—He considers drunkards very sensitive to small doses—He gives massive doses in typhus—Hartmann does the same—J. O. Midler opposed to a uniform dose—Condemns the high potencies—Attomyr endeavours to find rules for the dose—Black is disposed to do so also—Koch makes the dose depend on similarity, susceptibility, and intensity—Stens prefers the higher dilutions—Griesse—lien prefers the lower dilutions, especially for acute diseases—Arnold thinks it is never necessary to go beyond the 6th decimal dilution—Mure claims the merit of the discovery of a nosological rule—He lays down many rules for the dose—Orntnez prefers the dilutions, above 2000 for acute and chronic diseases—Cruxent's grand astronomical rule for the dose—Scott's rules for the dose—Great latitude of the remedial dose—Bartivo to one do'e curing where another had failed—Hahnemann erred in fixing on a uniform dose—Vernous circuniaiances determine the suitable dose — ome diseases demand larger d

LECTURE XVI.

ON THE REPETITION OF MEDICINES

ON THE REPETITION OP MEDICINES.

PA

Hahnemann at first gave re eated doses—Instances of his repetitions—He
afterwards attempted to regulate the repetition by the supposed duration of action of the me.acine - Afterwards his rule was that the medicine should not be repe, ced as long as improvement went on-Difficulty
of following Hahttemauu's rule—The medicine has not exhausted its
action until improvement stops—He next allows the medicine to be repeated before it has exhausted its action—He allows the rapidity of the
course of the disease to determine the repetition—The medicine when
repeated must be given in a lower dilution—He latterly allowed the medicine to be repeated very frequently, even in chronic diseases-But
each time in a different potency—JSgi'di asserts the advantage of more
frequent repetition—Wolf advises more frequent repetition—Hering
enumerates cases whore repetition is useful—Gross and Kretschmar
advise repetition in certain ease*—Rau attempts to show when repetit
tions are useful, when hurtful—Kumpfer's rules for the repetition—Attomyr's
un-Hahnemanuic directions—Koch's rules—Grisseslich's laudation of repetition—Cireumstauces in which it is to be employed—Periods of
exacerbation of the disease ought to regulate the repetition—Trinks's
rules for the repetition—Arnold's maxims—Attempt at a rule for the
repetition in acute and chronic diseases—The exacerbations, periodicity,
and rapidity of diseases should regulate the repetition—The dose cannot
guide us in the repetition—Some houtcoopathists contend for rare repetition, though Hahnemann latterly renounced the practice

LECTURE XVII.

ON THE ALTERNATION OF MEDICINES J ON THE ADMINISTRATION OF MORE THAN ONE MEDICINE AT A TIME; ON THE EMPLOY-MENT OF AUXILIARIES.

MENT OF AUXILIARIES.

Hahnemann at first allowed the alternation of medicines in certain cases—He afterwards altogether condemns it—Cases in which he continued to practise it—His intercurrent remedices—Hlering advocates the alternation of long and short acting medicines—He denies the propriety of idternating cuprum and veratrum—He advises the alternation of a medicine and its antidote—Gross recommends alternation—Rummel approves of it—Hartmaun speaks favourably of it—iEgidi advises it in many cases—Hirsch practises mpid alternation—Kanipler looks upon it as a make-shift—He disapproves of it, but says it is necessary in some cases—Griesselich only allows it in cases where the choice lietween two medicines is impossible—Trinks disapproves of it, but says it is allowable in certain rare cases—Marcy recommends it in certain case?—Beilby denounces it in pleurisy—Horner advises it in pleurisy—The practice is reprehensible in chronic diseases—The practice of prescribing a course of several medicines in succession is akin to it—Alternation allowable in diseases of fixed course, where we can predict the symptoms that will occur—Cases in which it is justifiable—Bechet's proof of its necessity in some cases—Hahnemann's successions of medicines—Reasons for Hahnemann's early denunciations of mixtures—Liedbeck proposes to give the chemical compound of two indicated medicines—His mistake about the proving of iron—He accuses Hering of plagiarism—iEgidi's projHisal to mix medicines—Schron disapproves entirely of the practice—Molin proposes to prove mixtures*-Gnesselich condemns it—Simultaneous exhibition of fivo medicines—certailly and intori±*dly—Roux, Pantliin, and Gauwerky propose to mix different dilutions—The mixture of medicines not allowable—Is occasionally practice—Omnium gatheruF»- Attxiliurie\$ to homeepatkic treato^Hahnemann recom-

CONTENTS. XV

Esends antipathic, palliative, and chemical remedies in certain cases—His employment of electricity, pitch-plasters, mesmerism, cold water—Hydropathic measures in great favour with some homecopathists—Starke's homocopathic theory of hydropathy—Kurtz, Brutzer, Hampe, Ott, Russell, on the water-cure—Bloodletting approved by some—Kretschmar, Hering, Miiller, Rummel, Rau, Henderson, Charge, advise it occasionally—Arnold, Elwert, Schubert, Riickert, condemnit—Dietl proves its hurtfulness in pneumonia—Are purgatives ever allowable?—Cases in which they are—Black on their use—Are derivatives ever required?—Their alleged use in suppressed exanthemata—Cases iu which stimulants are required—Certain useful non-homecopathic auxiliaries—Hot sponge in croup—Kinesitics—Galvanism—Burq's chains—Junod's hemospastic apparatus—Dry cupping—Mesmerism—Reichenbach's magnetic meridian—Schroth's thirst-cure—Many non-homecopathie auxiliaries employed by all practitioners.

LECTURE XVIII.

JODES OP ADMINISTERING THE HOMOEOPATHIC REMEDY; LOCAL EMPLOYMENT OF MEDICINES; HOMOEOPATHIC PHARMACEUTICS.

Modes of administering the remedy adopted by Hahnemann—His early external employment—Vehicles in which lie gave it internally—He afterwards advises it to be always given dry—He introduces the globules—Various sizes used by him—His administration by olfaction, to supersederall other methods—Olfaction of the dry globule—Olfaction of the dissolved medicine—He returns to the giving of medicines in solution—Modes of preserving the solution—His endermic employment of medicines—His early employment of this method—His later mode of employing it—At one time he forbids its use—He afterwards recommends it strongly—He*nMeX°F Hahnemann's methods—'Egidi proposes to give medicines in solution—Hering approves of 'gidi's plan—He warns against stirring the solution too much—iEgidi approves of olfaction in some cases—Rau says olfaction is seldom useful—Rummel has seen it of use in certain cases—Perry advocates its employment—Gross approves of olfaction of the high potencies—Mure's ingenious mode of giving his patients the benefit of olfaction without their knowledge—Drysdale's mode of giving arseniuretted hydrogen—Kiimpfer alludes to the endermic method—Want of uniformity and rule mthe administration of medicines—Practitioners generally guided by caprice or convenience—Dry vehicles for the medicine—Rotuli, pastilles, Norton's pilules—Olfaction occasionally useful—The endermic method—Its antiquity—Plistonicus, Dieuehes, Diodes, Dioscorides, Rufus, Berengarius, Aniatus—Classes of practitioners mentioned by Celsus—Lembert, Lesieur, Ahrensen on the endermic method—Madden's experiments on the absorption of medicines—Solids—Fluids—Gases—Hering's peculiar endermic method—Utility of the endermic method in certain cases—Mode of employing it—Inunction of medicines—The local employment of medicines—Focials endermic for the local treatment of sphilis—His subsequent local treatment of other diseases—His local treatment of itch and cancer—He afterwards denounces all local treatment of the hamber of itch and cancer—He afterwards denounces all l

tures of belladonna, opium, ipecacuanha, chamomilla, bryonia, rhus, and hyoscyamus—Lays down rules for the preparation of different substances—He occasionally deviates from these rules—He afterwards proposes a uniform process for all medicines—His mode of triturating—Hering proposes various proportions of the vehicle and drug—His economical and expeditious mode of preparing the dilutions—Yehsemeyer approves of the decimal scale—Gruner prepares medicines on this scale—Rummel suggests the proportion of 2 to 98t-'Relation of decimal to centesimal scale—Various works on homoeopathic pharmacy—Caspari's dispensatory—Hartmann's Latin translation—Buchner's pharmacopoeia—Gruner's pharmacopoeia—Schmid's pharmacopoeia—Mure's pharmaceutic propositions—His triturating machine—His apparatus for producing a vacuum—His succussion machine—Weber's proposal to trituducing a vacuum—His succussion machine—Weber's proposal to triturate all medicines up to 15—His dynamizator—Madden's pharmaceutic suggestions—Hahnemann's antiquated chemistry—Need of a new homoeopathic pharmacopoeia.

LECTURE XIX.

ON ANTIDOTES; ON PROPHYLACTICS; ON DIET AND REGIMEN; CONCLUSION

Antidotes common to both schools—Antidotes peculiar to allopathy—Such antidotes rejected by homoeopathy—Hahnemann's early investigations relative to antidotes—His chemical and dynamical antidotes—Different kinds of antidotes used by Hahnemann—Variety of antidotes for different effects of belladonna—Hahnemann's antidotes did not always bear a homoeopathic relation to the antidoted substance—Another dose of the same medicine its antidote, according to some—Rationale of the action of antidotes—Medicinal prophylactics—Antiquity of prophylactics—Charms—Amulets—Abracadabra—Bezoarstones—Images of gods—Crucifixes—Images of saints—Medals—Rosaries—Vaccination—Inoculation of small-pox—Inoculation of measles—Mason Good's prophylactic for hydrophobia—Hahnemann's discovery of the prophylactic powers of belladonna in scarlet fever—Allopathic testimony to this prophylactic—Hahnemann suggests belladonna as a prophylactic in measles—His prophylactics of cholera—Preservative power of copper, testified to by liotk and Burq—Prophylactics proposed for measles—Hering's proposed prophylactics—Croserio's prophylactic for gonorrhoea—Cronin's inoculation of the Aleppo-pustule—Winter's prophylaxis of adults—Gastier's prophylaxis of infants—Fearon's prophylaxis of the foetus—His observations on the diagnosis of obscure disease—Importance of prophylaxis—Probability of the discovery of prophylaxis of the foetus—His observations on the diagnosis of obscure disease—Importance of prophylaxis—Probability of the discovery of prophylactics for diseases of fixed character—The prophylaxis of children—Diet and regimen—Homoeopathic dietetic misrepresented—Hahnemann's ridicule of scientific dietists—His case showing the dangers of a too sparing diet—His case showing the need of stimulants in those used to them—He deprecates great changes in the diet—His diet in scarlet fever—His diet in acute diseases—His diet in chronic diseases—His latest dietetic rules—Articles of dietricatively not absolutely wholesome or the reverse—Wonderful digestibility of a re

APPENDIX.

A. The dose of gold used by Hahnemann for proving—B. Dr. Altschul's posological rule—C. Different curative powers of various doses—D. Hahnemann's local employment of remedies....